IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

JAMES A. BIGGINS,

Defendant BelowAppellant,

V.

S Court Below—Superior Court
of the State of Delaware,
S Cr. ID 9609015504

Plaintiff Below- § Appellee. §

Submitted: November 30, 2010 Decided: January 19, 2011

Before STEELE, Chief Justice, HOLLAND and BERGER, Justices.

ORDER

This 19th day of January 2011, after careful consideration of appellant's opening brief, the State's motion to affirm, and the record below, we find it manifest that the judgment below should be affirmed for the reasons set forth in the Superior Court's decision dated September 29, 2010. The Superior Court did not err in concluding that appellant's sixth motion for postconviction relief was untimely and repetitive and that the issues he raised were previously adjudicated¹ and that appellant had failed to overcome these procedural hurdles.

¹ See, e.g., Biggins v. State, 2005 WL 646838 (Del. Mar. 15, 2005); Biggins v. State, 2000 WL 1504868 (Del. Sept. 26, 2000).

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of the Superior Court is AFFIRMED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Randy J. Holland
Justice